

# 2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program USFS - Los Padres National Forest's Factual Findings

---

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)  
[https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page\\_id=23747](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)

---

## **General Evaluation Criteria:**

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
- #4 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not provide the date of the reference document that supports the total cost of the agency's OHV Program (Grant funds and agency's operational budget) for the most recent complete fiscal year. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

## **Ground Operations: G24-02-09-G01**

### **Project Description – Background**

- No change.

### **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- Describe the size of the specific Project Area(s) in acres and/or miles – The Applicant must clarify the information in this section as the scope of the Central Coast Trail Riders Association (CCTRA) Ground Operations Project is inconsistent with the areas and miles identified in this section.

### **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

## Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #2 "SLRD/MPRD OHV Work Leader" – The Applicant removed "Collects GIS data/photos for accomplished work...and/or reporting to the OHV Div." activities from the line item but did not reduce the line item total. The Applicant listed five (5) Project activities for this line item. Removing two (2) activities reduces the line-item total by 40%. Deduct \$33,280 from Grant. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$49,920 and match \$0.
- Materials / Supplies #12 "MPRD/SLRD Resource Survey/Monitoring" – The Applicant is reminded that the items acquired in this line item must be used for OHV monitoring only.
- Equipment Use Expenses #1 "SLRD Mileage – FS Operated Dozer " – The Applicant must update the line-item title to reflect what the line item is. The line item title states mileage and this is a daily use fee line item.
- Equipment Use Expenses #5 "MPRD OHV Equipment Maint./Repairs" – "Repairs" on Grant purchased Equipment is an indirect activity as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant must move the verbiage for this activity. Line item is reduced by 50%. Deduct \$2,500 from Grant (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$2,500 and match \$0.
- Equipment Use Expenses #8 "SLRD-Maintenance and Repairs to OHVs" – "Repairs" on Grant purchased Equipment is an indirect activity as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant must move the verbiage for this activity. Line item is reduced by 50%. Deduct \$500 from Grant (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$500 and match \$0.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Administrative Costs" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable. Deduct \$5,442 from the match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$53,761.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$358,408

Match: \$199,713

Total Project Cost: \$558,121

## Evaluation Criteria

- No comment.

## Planning: G24-02-09-P01

## Project Description – Background

- No change.

## **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

## **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- #1– The Applicant must revise the Project deliverable descriptions by removing any Project activities that are irrelevant to the current deliverable title and reassigning them to the appropriate deliverable title. Referenced Deliverable #1a is not an eligible Project activity as it has already been completed. The Applicant must remove this deliverable from the section.

## **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

## **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff #4 "GS–9 Subject Matter Experts & Inspector" – "Provides subject matter expertise to any stage of the contract development, solicitation, bid evaluation, and/or contract inspection" is duplicative activities of Staff #3 "GS–11 Staff Specialists and Field Reps". Applicant listed three (3) Project activities for this line item. Removing one (1) activity reduces the line item by 33%. Deduct \$4,026 match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$8,174.

Revised Total:

Match: \$155,561

Total Project Cost: \$514,961

## **Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 – The narrative does not support the selections of "Potential effects of OHV Recreation on water quality" and "Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses". The Applicant did not provide additional information on how the Project will address the selected statements of what are the "Potential effects of OHV Recreation..." The Applicant added the selection of "Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures..." without receiving a Division or public comment. Deduct 2 points.
- #4 – The narrative does not support the selection of "The Applicant held a meeting(s), held either in person or virtual, with multiple distinct stakeholders...". The Applicant did not identify the date and location of stakeholder meetings, who hosted the meetings, and clarify how stakeholders are stakeholders to the Project. Deduct 1 point.
- #5 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not list each stakeholder separately and information provided did not provide sufficient information on how the Applicant will incorporate the stakeholders' input for the entirety of the Project performance period. Deduct 5 points.

- #6 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not list each partner separately and provide a detailed explanation for how each partner will actively participate towards the completion of the Project. Deduct 4 points.